



Discussion Papers in Economics

THE EFFECT OF DENGUE FEVER ON SCHOOLING OUTCOMES

By

Juliana Carneiro
(University of Warwick),

Martin Foureaux Koppensteiner
(University of Surrey)

&

Lívia Menezes
(University of Birmingham).

DP 14/23

School of Economics
University of Surrey
Guildford
Surrey GU2 7XH, UK
Telephone +44 (0)1483 689380
Facsimile +44 (0)1483 689548

Web <https://www.surrey.ac.uk/school-economics>

ISSN: 1749-5075

The Effect of Dengue Fever on Schooling Outcomes*

Juliana Carneiro[†]

Martin Foureaux Koppensteiner[‡]

Lívia Menezes[§]

December 15, 2023

Abstract

In this paper, we estimate the causal effect of transitory individual-level health shocks on schooling outcomes in Brazil. We focus on dengue fever, which, despite putting half of the world's population at risk, has received relatively little attention, possibly due to its low mortality. We link individual register data on dengue infections with detailed individual records from the Brazilian school census and use a fixed effects estimation strategy to estimate the effect of dengue infections on grade retention and dropout. We find that dengue infections during the school year have a substantial negative effect on measures of student success, with an increase in grade retention of 3.5 percent and an increase in dropout of 4.6 percent. The results are important for vector control programs and for the adoption and targeting of novel dengue vaccines.

JEL Classification: I12, J13, K42, O12

Keywords: Health shocks, dengue, educational outcomes, drop-out

*We thank the State Secretariats of Health and Education in Minas Gerais for very useful discussions and for help with the data. Foureaux Koppensteiner very gratefully acknowledges financial support received through the Economic and Social Research Council grant ES/N017706/1 and through the Wellcome Trust grant 103312/Z/13/Z. The research of this manuscript was conducted under IRB approval from the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Leicester (1666-mk332-economics). The usual disclaimer applies.

[†]University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK. Email: juliana.carneiro@warwick.ac.uk

[‡]University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, UK. Email: m.koppensteiner@surrey.ac.uk

[§]University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK. Email: l.menezes@bham.ac.uk

1 Introduction

The recent Covid-19 pandemic has put a spotlight on the devastating effects infectious diseases can have on societies. While the direct health impact of Covid-19 was mostly felt by individuals with underlying risk factors, including chronic conditions and old age, the indirect impact of the lockdowns and school closures had a detrimental impact on the learning and educational outcomes of children around the world ([Azevedo et al. \(2021\)](#), [Grewenig et al. \(2021\)](#), [Werner and Woessmann \(2023\)](#)). In contrast to Covid-19, with the focus on school closures and the effect of home learning, infectious diseases may also affect the learning and human capital more directly when infected students suffer from the direct health consequences of an infection, for example, by missing school. Dengue fever provides an interesting case to investigate the effect of transitory health shocks on student outcomes. Because dengue fever is a communicable disease, registration of cases provides an individual-level dataset not available for other infections, and because of the endemic nature, a sufficient number of infections are available to estimate precise effects. At the same time, in most cases, regular dengue has no long-term consequences, making it an ideal exemplar to study transitory health shocks, ruling out that the infection has a detrimental impact on the health and other outcomes through long-lasting symptoms.¹

In this paper, we estimate the effect of dengue infections on the schooling outcomes of secondary school students in Brazil. Combining official records on dengue infections with individual-level records from the Brazilian school census, we investigate the effect of infections during the school year on grade retention and dropout, two key measures of educational success. To address the underlying endogeneity problem, we estimate econometric specifications including school and neighborhood fixed effects, while controlling for a very rich set of individual and classroom level fixed effects, as well as for temperature. Previous evidence on the effect of contemporaneous health shocks on educational outcomes is scarce. This is probably due to a mix of data limitations, as individual records on health shocks that can be linked to individual schooling records are limited, and problems with isolating the effect of the individual health shock from general equilibrium effects. For example, during the Covid-19 pandemic, with school closures and restrictions on social mixing, the direct effect of infections is difficult to isolate, leading to a lack of such research. A notable exception is [Gensowski et al. \(2019\)](#) who study the effect of Polio infections in early life on education attainment

¹This is particularly true when investigating dengue infections of children because they are much less likely to have been infected with other strains of dengue previously, dramatically reducing the chance for developing severe dengue, a serious complication associated with re-infection with other dengue strains.

and labor market outcomes in Denmark. Exploiting quasi-random variation in paralysis incidence in this population, with a focus hence on long-term disability rather than transitory health shocks, they find that paralysis increases educational attainment and increases the propensity to work in white-collar jobs. There is also a small literature on the effects of shocks to parental health on children’s educational outcomes. [Alam \(2015\)](#) find for Tanzania that paternal health shocks reduce attendance at school, most likely through the effect on income, leading to detrimental long-term effects on educational attainment. In contrast, [Aaskoven et al. \(2022\)](#) find that severe parental health shock affects children’s school achievements using a rich longitudinal dataset of Danish children through non-pecuniary cost of the illness negatively affecting school grades and secondary school completion rates. This paper is also related and contributes to the literature on the cost of dengue. For example, [Foureaux Koppensteiner and Menezes \(2023\)](#) provide estimates on the effect of maternal dengue infections in-utero on health at birth and longer-term health, linking dengue records with birth and hospitalization records. Closer to our context, [Barron et al. \(2019\)](#) study the effect of a dengue outbreak in Colombia on educational outcomes. In contrast to the direct effect of dengue infections on educational outcomes in our paper, they focus on the behavioral response to the outbreak on those not directly affected by dengue. By studying these indirect effects, they find that an unexpected dengue outbreak leads to between 4 and 10 percent fewer students sitting their school-leaving examinations for every additional 10 cases of severe dengue per 10,000 municipality inhabitants.

In this paper, we provide the first causal evidence on the effects of dengue infections on schooling outcomes leveraging matched health and schooling records from Brazil. To address the potential endogeneity of dengue infections, we apply school and time fixed effects and control for a large set of individual and classroom time varying characteristics. We find that dengue infections during the school year have a substantial negative effect on educational outcomes in secondary school, increasing grade retention by at least 3.5 percent and dropout by 4.6 percent compared to the mean incidence of repetition and dropout. The results are robust to a battery of alternative specifications, including two-way fixed effects specifications using school and neighborhood fixed effects, allowing for neighborhood specific trends, including classroom fixed effects, and including temperature controls. We find that the effects are driven by dengue infections during the concurrent school year, but we also find longer-term effects by documenting the lasting effect of dengue infections on repetition and dropout in the subsequent year. Our results document the relevance of transitory health shocks on measures of school success. The increase in repetition and dropout in response

to dengue infections is substantial and shows that health shocks, even when generally considered relatively mild and of transitory nature, can have drastic consequences for human capital accumulation. The results are important for optimal policy making, providing causal estimates of a previously unknown consequence of dengue fever on the human capital accumulation of adolescents in Brazil. These novel estimates are relevant, for example, to the optimal design of vector control programs, including the targeting of interventions around the residence of students. The results will also provide important inputs for the decision on the adoption and targeting of newly available dengue vaccines. In October 2023, the Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization of the WHO has recommended the adoption of the Qdenga vaccine to children aged 6-16 in dengue hotspots. Our results show that secondary school students, ranging in age from 15-18, are affected negatively by dengue infections and the protection through the vaccine should be extended to at least age 18 to protect students at school from the negative impact of dengue.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we provide details on the data used in the paper. Section 3 provides background information on dengue. In section 4 we discuss the identification problem and introduce the estimation strategy. Section 5 provides and discusses the results and section 6 concludes.

2 Background

Dengue fever is a viral infection transmitted by *Aedes* mosquitos and is endemic in as many as 100 countries in the tropics and subtropics, putting more than half of the world's population at risk of infection. With global warming, the dengue vector is now rapidly expanding its habitat leading to infections in previously unaffected areas, including the south of the United States, France, Greece, Spain, Portugal and Croatia. Symptoms of dengue infections vary widely, ranging from subclinical states, where individuals have no symptoms and are unlikely aware of their infection, to severe flu-like symptoms, including high fever, severe headache, muscle and joint pain, nausea, vomiting, and skin rash lasting 1-2 weeks. There are currently several serotypes of the virus (DENV-1, DENV-2, DENV-3, and DENV-4) causing dengue and circulating in the population. As infection with one strain is believed to lead to lifelong immunity to the same strain, but only temporal and partial immunity to other serotypes, the prevalence of the different serotypes varies with immunity levels in the population. Subsequent infection with a different dengue strain is associated with an increased risk of developing severe dengue complications ([Murugesan and](#)

Manoharan (2020)), including *dengue hemorrhagic fever*, a rare but potentially life-threatening complication from dengue infections. Regular dengue has a low mortality rate compared to other tropical infectious diseases such as malaria or yellow fever, contributing to dengue being considered a neglected tropical disease. Severe dengue carries a mortality risk of approximately 4% (Andrioli et al. (2020)). Because of lower exposure to previous strains, the incidence of severe dengue is smaller for younger populations, but the lack of immunity also increases the chances for first-time infection. After the re-occurrence of dengue fever in Brazil in the 1990s, dengue became a notifiable disease, where every known case is recorded by public health authorities. Since the re-occurrence, Brazil has developed one of the largest vector control programs in the world, which includes targeted information campaigns, the application of insecticides in household water tanks, the fumigation of affected urban areas, and improvements of waste collections to remove breeding opportunities.

3 Data

Estimating the effect of individual health shocks on schooling outcomes requires data linking various measures of educational attainment with information on health shocks at the individual level. In this paper, we focus on health shocks from infections with dengue fever, requiring detailed information on dengue infections of young people linked with schooling records. We have access to such linked administrative records for the universe of secondary school students in state schools in Minas Gerais. We describe the individual datasets below.

3.1 Schooling outcomes

Schooling records come from the *Brazilian School Census* (Censo Escolar, in Portuguese). The Brazilian School Census is based on an annual collection of individual, classroom and school characteristics across all Brazilian schools. In this paper, we focus on students in state secondary schools in the state of Minas Gerais, providing us with a more homogeneous student body. The school census contains extremely detailed information on the physical infrastructure of schools and classrooms, such as quality of premises and equipment available. Individual student records provide basic information on the age, sex and race of students. Information on schools, classrooms, and individual students are identified by unique identifiers, allowing us to link students to classrooms and schools, enabling us to link students to information collected on subject teachers, such as age, sex, educational background, specializations and training of teachers. The unique student identi-

fiers also enable us to follow students over time, providing us with a range of important measures of educational attainment. In detail, we use the panel nature of the data following students across grades to create measures of repetition and dropout, which are frequent in Brazil. Grade repetition is defined by observing a student at the same grade level in subsequent years. Dropout is defined as no longer observing the student across any school (in the state or indeed anywhere in Brazil), where we would otherwise expect the student to be in school. Panel B of Table 1 reports the fractions of students in secondary school who pass or repeat the grade or drop out of school by grade. Focusing on the sample without dengue infections reveals that 18%, 10% and 8% of students repeat the first, second, and third year of primary school respectively. Likewise, we find that 11%, 8% and 5% drop out of school.

3.2 Dengue data

Information on dengue infections is based on official notifications of dengue fever cases from the *Notifiable Diseases Information System* (Sistema de Informação de Agravos de Notificação (SINAN), in Portuguese). Dengue fever is a notifiable disease, and every known case must be recorded in SINAN. SINAN also collects information on the individual and the infection, including on the date of notification and on the diagnosis, i.e., information on whether the dengue infection was diagnosed by clinical assessment through common symptoms such as fever, headache, nausea, rash, and the Tourniquet-Test² or through serological/virological dengue tests. Over the 2011-2017 period, the monthly average dengue incidence rate in Minas Gerais was 97 cases per 100,000 population, among the highest dengue incidence in the world (Zeng et al. (2021)). The incidence of dengue among secondary school students can be inferred from Table 1. We find that just over 1% of students get infected with dengue every year, with roughly similar incidence by grade, with several thousand students suffering from dengue every year. We find that students with dengue are more likely to be non-white and more likely to be in receipt of Bolsa Família—the Brazilian conditional cash transfer.

3.3 Temperature data

We complement our data with high-frequency temperature measures to use as controls in our regressions. These data come from the ERA5 reanalysis released by the *European Centre for*

²This is a clinical diagnostic test that determines capillary fragility and hence a patient’s hemorrhagic tendency, a common symptom of dengue. It forms part of the WHO algorithm for the diagnosis of dengue fever.

Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) as part of the *Copernikus Climate Change Services*. ERA5 provides hourly information on temperatures at 2 m altitudes for a grid with resolution 0.25×0.25 degrees. We create municipality-level averages by using the inverse-distance weighted average of all weather grid points within a 50 km range of the municipality centroid. We create two different measures, daily average temperature and daily maximum temperature to explore in more detail the effect of average versus extreme temperature as control variables. For the controls in our regressions, we create a count of days in 5 °C bands of daily average temperature starting with a lower bound of 10 °C and ending at the upper bound of 45 °C over the duration of the school year.

3.4 Auxiliary data

We complement the main data with information from register data on Bolsa Família as a proxy for socio-economic status. We record a household to be in receipt of Bolsa Família if the household is ever receiving the cash transfer. Approximately one-third of students are in receipt of the cash transfer, indicating a high dependency on welfare transfers among the group of state school students.

4 Identification Strategy

When estimating the effect of health shocks on educational outcomes, a simple regression using cross-sectional data is unlikely to provide unbiased estimates. This is because the risk of contracting dengue may be related to the socio-economic conditions at the local level. If these conditions are unobservable, it could lead to omitted variable bias. For example, areas with worse public services may have less efficient vector control efforts in place, resulting in a higher incidence of dengue. At the same time, other public services may also be of lower quality, for example, the provision of public education leading to failed inference. To address the identification issue, we make use of the rich administrative data for a period of 11 years, including detailed information on the residence and the schools attended by the students. This allows us to include both school and location fixed effects making use of the time dimension of the data. We estimate the following equation for outcomes Y_{ist} , for student i studying at school s in year t .

$$Y_{ist} = \alpha + \beta_t + \delta_s + dengue_{ist}\gamma_1 + X_{ist}\theta + \epsilon_{ist} \quad (1)$$

where Y_{igst} denotes the outcome variables, which include an indicator variable taking the value of 1 if the students are approved and move to the next grade and 0 otherwise, and equivalent

indicators for repetition and dropout. X_{ist} is a vector of covariates that include race, sex, age of students and grade, as well as their classroom characteristics such as the share of girls, black students and share of students above the appropriate target age for the grade. We also include year fixed effects, β_t , to take account of any systematic differences in the outcomes across years. All estimates include school fixed effects δ_s as students attending the same school tend to come from households with similar socio-economic background. Our key parameter of interest is the indicator variable, d_{igst} that equals 1 if the student has contracted dengue during the school year t . The identification strategy assumes that conditional on school and time fixed effects, dengue infections are as good as random. To probe the estimations further, we additionally include neighborhood fixed effects, controlling for the fact that students attending the same school may live in different neighborhoods, with different infection risk and socio-economic characteristics. As is now standard in the literature, we also separately include neighborhood specific time trends. Lastly, we also estimate specification including classroom FE. Students in secondary schools which are in the same ‘class’ are together with the same peers, but have lessons with different teachers, for example for math, Portuguese, physics, etc. Classroom FE hence control for any classroom specific factors, for example teacher specific shocks, and hence also control for a potential dengue infection of teachers. In further specifications, we also include temperature controls. High temperatures have been linked to worse school outcomes in the literature (Park et al. (2020)). Because temperature may also affect the reproduction and survival of the dengue vector (Campbell et al. (2013)), temperature controls will allow us to test for the role of temperature in the relationship. For the weather controls, we include counts of the number of days over the school year with temperatures between 10-15, 15-20, 20-25, 25-30, 30-35, and higher than 35. Robust standard errors are clustered at the school level.

5 Results

5.1 Main estimates

We present the main results in Table 2. We start in column (1) with the estimates including just school and year fixed effects. In Panel A, we focus on the outcome of passing the grade, i.e. students successfully completing the grade and enrolling in the next grade. We find that a dengue infection during the school year decreases the propensity for a student to pass this grade by 0.0084 percentage points, significant at the 1 percent level of significance. Compared to the baseline mean incidence of 0.7879), dengue leads to a 1 percent decrease in passing students. When

including student level controls in column (2), the coefficient strengthens to 0.0116 percentage points or 1.5 percent decrease in approved students. The inclusion of additional classroom controls (column (3)), the weather controls (columns (4) and (5)), neighborhood fixed effects (column (6) and neighborhood fixed effects and neighborhood specific time trends leaves the coefficient virtually unchanged lending additional credibility to the identification strategy. To understand what drives the reduction in students passing the grade, we separately look at grade retention and dropout, the two contributing reasons why students do not pass the grade.

In Panel B, we start by estimating the effect of dengue on repetition. In column (1), where we only include school and year fixed effects, we document an increase of 0.0044 percentage points in response to a dengue infection, significant at the 1 percent level of significance. Compared to the baseline mean incidence of 0.1268, this equates to a 3.5 percent increase in repetition. When including student level controls in column (2), the coefficient strengthens to 0.0071 percentage points, or 5.6 percent increase in repetition. Once again, we test the sensitivity of the estimates by the inclusion of additional classroom controls (column (3)), weather controls (columns (4) and (5)), neighborhood fixed effects (column (6) and neighborhood fixed effects and neighborhood specific time trends in column (7). In column (8), we provide the estimates when including class fixed effects. We find again that the inclusion of these additional fixed effects and controls leads to very similar estimates. Besides the negative consequences on the individual student, for example, by harming their confidence and removing the student from their established peer group, the effect on repetition is economically meaningful as every year repeated by students reduces their lifetime earnings by one year, delaying their entry into the labor market, and directly affecting demand for public education by increasing the student body in public schools for the year repeated leading to additional cost to the public purse.

In Panel C, we present the results for dropout. We find that dengue infections increase dropout significantly. Starting with a specification including school and year fixed effects, we find that a dengue infection leads to an increase in dropout of 3.9 percentage points, a 4.6 percent increase compared to the mean dropout incidence. The inclusion of controls also strengthens the coefficient slightly, but less so than for repetition. Across the different alternative specifications, coefficients are very stable and range between 0.0031 and 0.0043, or a 3.6 and 5.1 percent when compared to the mean. The estimated impact of dengue on dropout is substantial and shows that health shocks, even when generally considered relatively mild and of transitory nature, can have drastic consequences for human capital accumulation. Taken together, the effects on dropout and repetition indicate that

dengue fever contributes substantially to school failure with the considerable negative consequences for students. Although grade retention regime can provide incentives for students to work harder (Foureaux Koppensteiner (2014)), the literature on grade retention documents the negative effects of grade retention on school completion, and other educational outcomes (Jacob and Lefgren (2009), Manacorda (2012)). Likewise, the negative consequences of dropout have been widely documented, including on educational attainment, criminal involvement and underage fertility (Chuang (1997), Marcotte (2013), Bjerk (2012)).

To probe the robustness of the estimates and understand the underlying channel further, we engage in two additional exercises. First, we estimate the effect of dengue infections with a sample of children that get infected during the school year and drop observations with infections during December and January, which are the months between the school years. This allows us to rule out that the effects are driven by selection of individuals getting infected before the return of school. We present the estimates from this exercise in Panel A of Table 3 following the specification of column (3) in Table 2 including school and year fixed effects and controlling for student and classroom covariates. The effects on repetition are almost identical to the previous estimates, whereas the effects on dropout are slightly smaller, but indistinguishable from the coefficients of the other specifications in Table 2. As a further exercise, we also estimate the effect of infections in the previous school years, enabling us to investigate the longer-term effects across academic years. This reduces the number of observations, as we both limit the cohort by one and also lose observations from the effect of contemporaneous infections. We present the results in Panel B of the Table. Using infections in the previous academic year, we find – as expected – a smaller effect on repetition and dropout. Still the effect sizes are sizeable and statistically significant, with dengue in the previous school year increasing retention in the subsequent academic grade by 3.3 percent and increasing dropout by 3.7 percent compared to the mean.

Lastly, as a final test of our identification strategy, we engage in a placebo exercise. In Table 4, we regress future dengue infections (happening in the subsequent years) on repetition and dropout. We do this by creating the lead of the indicator of dengue infections. By definition, future infections cannot affect present outcomes, and any significant coefficient would indicate a problem with the identification problem. Indeed, we find that the effect of the lead variable of dengue infections had a small, positive and insignificant effect on both, repetition and dropout, providing extra credibility to our identification strategy.

5.2 Heterogeneous effects

In this section, we investigate the heterogeneous effects of dengue infections by characteristics of students and by grade. Starting with student characteristics, we focus on three categories. First, we estimate the effect separately by gender; second, by race (white–non-white); and finally by whether a family is in receipt of the cash transfer Bolsa Família. We report the estimates in Table 5. We start with the estimates by gender in Panel A. We find that dengue infections have a much more pronounced effect for girls both for repetition (with a coefficient of 0.0097, a 10.4 percent increase) and dropout (with a coefficient of 0.007, a 10.3 percent increase), whereas the coefficients for boys are much smaller and not statistically significantly different from zero. A formal test shows that the coefficients by gender are significantly different from each other. These effects show that health shocks can have different effects by gender, with girls being much more affected than boys. Next, we stratify the sample by race, where we group self-declared race into white versus non-white, where non-white includes mixed, black, Asian and indigenous background, splitting the groups into roughly equal sizes. For repetition, we find stronger effects for girls, but the difference is not statistically significant. We find the opposite for dropout, with stronger effects for non-white, but again, the difference is not statistically significant. These opposing effects may at least partially be explained by the interdependence between repetition and dropout. With a larger increase in dropout for non-white students, mechanically the effect on repetition for the same group will be more limited. Next in this exercise, we investigate the heterogeneous effects by recipient status of Bolsa Família. We find that the effect is stronger for non-recipient, when looking at repetition, but stronger for dropout for recipients. Because the incidence differs substantially between the groups, when comparing the effect sizes a comparison to the mean is important. For example, the effect on repetition for non-recipients of the cash transfer is about 6.5 percent compared to the mean incidence. The percent effect for dropout is 4.1 percent for Bolsa Família recipients and 4.2 percent for non-recipient, so basically identical across the groups.

Finally, we estimate the effect on our outcomes separately by grade level. Secondary school in Brazil lasts for three years after which students either enter the labor market or apply for a university place. To learn whether the effects of dengue infections vary by grade, we estimate the effect of dengue on our outcomes separately by grade. We present the results in Table 6. Starting with passing the grade in Panel A, we find a smaller effect for first grade compared to second and third grade (-0.0087, compared to -0.0122 and -0.0118 in second and third grade, respectively).

Possibly this is because a smaller fraction of students are promoted to the second grade, when compared to the other transition and graduation. Next, we look at the effects for repetition by grade in Panel B. We find a much smaller positive, but insignificant effect on repetition for year 1 in column (1), while the effects are more pronounced for second and third year. We find a coefficient of 0.0105 for repeating second year, a 10 percent increase compared to the mean. In third year, because of the smaller baseline level of repetition, the coefficient of 0.0081, equates also to a 10 percent increase compared to the mean. Lastly, we look at the effect on dropout by grade in Panel C. We find the strongest in year one of secondary school, with an increase in dropout of 0.5 percentage points, or a 4.8 percent increase when compared to the baseline of 11 percent. The coefficient for second year is smaller, positive but insignificant. For third year, the effect is slightly smaller when compared to first year, but given the smaller incidence of dropout in third year of 5.3, the effect equates to an increase of 6 percent, the strongest relative effect by grade.

6 Final Remarks

In this paper we provide the first causal evidence on the devastating effects of dengue fever on the schooling outcomes of secondary school students in state schools in Minas Gerais, Brazil. Leveraging matched administrative data linking official dengue records with information from official school census records, we find that dengue infections during the school year lead to a substantial increase in grade retention among students. An infection with dengue increases the propensity to repeat a grade by on average 5 percent. An increase in the number of students repeating a grade is not only costly to individual students by reducing lifetime earnings and possibly contributing to dropout from school but also to tax payers, adding students to the school system by prolonging the duration spent in secondary schooling (Eide and Showalter (2001)). We also find a substantial increase in dropout from secondary school, with an average increase of just over 4 percent. Dropout from school has severe long-term consequences on a range of important outcomes, including labor market outcomes, health and crime (Campolieti et al. (2010), Rouse (2005), ?). With tens of thousands of secondary school-age children being infected with dengue every year in the state of Minas Gerais alone, the cost in terms of lost learning and on the educational system from repetition are substantial. The economic and societal costs from the increase in dropout are difficult to estimate, because of the many intangible costs to consider, but they add to the direct and indirect costs of grade retention. The results in this paper are important for a range of public policies on

dengue, as they point to severe negative consequences of dengue infections on schooling outcomes of secondary school students, previously ignored in the literature. The results particularly inform any decisions regarding the adoption and the targeting of novel and effective dengue vaccines. Recently, WHO recommended the adoption of a new dengue vaccine for children aged 6-16 in regions with high prevalence of dengue. Given our results, documenting the detrimental effect of dengue infections on secondary school students from the ages of 15-18, the extension to this age group would benefit a vulnerable group with a high incidence and particularly negative effect of infections on their human capital accumulation. The vaccination of this age group would reduce the incidence of grade retention and dropout substantially. Future cohorts will benefit from the earlier vaccination, preventing the negative effect on education. Similarly, although the analysis in this paper focuses on secondary school students, primary school students likely also suffer the negative effects of dengue on their education. The results are also important for the targeting of vector control activities, for example, the fumigation around schools to avoid infection during school hours. The free distribution of insect repellent at schools during dengue outbreaks may also help to reduce local transmission and should be considered by school authorities. Lastly, information campaigns in schools during dengue outbreaks may help to inform students and their parents about the negative consequences of dengue infections on their learning and about how to avoid infections from *Aedes* mosquitoes. The results in this paper are also important for understanding more generally the potential negative consequences of transitory health shocks, for example, from other infectious diseases, including Covid-19 and seasonal flu, for which there is currently no evidence. Given the scale of school failure in Brazil—it is estimated that only 50 percent of students who start primary school will complete secondary education—the paper also sheds light on previously unknown contributors to school failure, health shocks to students. Reducing the incidence of avoidable health shocks, as in this case dengue, provides one mechanism to also reduce school failure and the associated waste in education. According to some [estimates](#), school failure causes costs of R\$ 30 billion, roughly \$ 6 billion annually, equivalent to 20% of public expenditure to education and understanding contributing factors may help to reduce school failure.

Table 1: Fraction of students who passed, repeated or dropped by infection status

Panel A:								
	With Dengue			Total	Without Dengue			Total
	<i>Pass</i>	<i>Repetition</i>	<i>Dropout</i>		<i>Pass</i>	<i>Repetition</i>	<i>Dropout</i>	
	Obs				Obs			
First Year	15,600	4,734	2,655	22,996	1,383,870	338,921	213,072	1,936,343
Second Year	14,402	2,301	1,446	18,152	1,202,205	148,021	115,289	1,465,824
Third Year	12,698	1,501	861	15,068	1,047,963	95,985	63,666	1,207,873
Total	42,700	8,536	4,962	56,216	3,634,038	582,927	392,027	4,666,256

Panel B:								
	With Dengue			Total	Without Dengue			Total
	<i>Pass</i> (%)	<i>Repetition</i>	<i>Dropout</i>		<i>Pass</i> (%)	<i>Repetition</i>	<i>Dropout</i>	
First Year	67.84	20.59	11.55	1.17	71.47	17.50	11.00	98.83
Second Year	79.34	12.68	7.97	1.22	82.01	10.1	7.87	98.78
Third Year	84.27	9.96	5.71	1.23	86.77	7.95	5.27	98.77

Panel C:								
	With Dengue			Total	Bolsa Família	Without Dengue		Total
	Obs	%	Total			Obs	%	
Bolsa Família								
Yes	17,072	30.37	56,216		Yes	1,333,977	28.94	4,610,040
No	39,144	69.63	56,216		No	3,276,063	71.06	4,610,040

Panel D:								
Age Group	With Dengue			Total	Age Group	Without Dengue		Total
	Obs	%	Total			Obs	%	
<16	6,940	12.35	56,216		<16	646,205	14.02	4,610,040
16	14,995	26.67	56,216		16	1,257,642	27.28	4,610,040
17	18,388	32.71	56,216		17	1,420,272	30.81	4,610,040
18	11,088	19.72	56,216		18	847,556	18.39	4,610,040
>18	4,805	8.55	56,216		>18	438,365	9.51	4,610,040

Panel E:								
Race	With Dengue			Total	Race	Without Dengue		Total
	Obs	%	Total			Obs	%	
White	20,053	35.67	56,216		White	1,808,609	39.23	4,610,040
Non-White	36,163	64.33	56,216		Non-White	2,801,431	60.77	4,610,040

Panel F:								
Gender	With Dengue			Total	Gender	Without Dengue		Total
	Obs	%	Total			Obs	%	
Female	31,204	55.51	56,216		Female	2,470,237	53.58	4,610,040
Male	25,012	44.49	56,216		Male	2,139,803	46.42	4,610,040

Notes: The table includes the number of students who caught dengue during the academic year per grade and the proportion of students who caught dengue and repeated the year or dropped out from school.

Table 2: Main results: Effect of contracting dengue on academic achievement

	Panel A: <i>Pass</i>							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
<i>Dengue</i>	-0.0084*** (0.0019)	-0.0116*** (0.0018)	-0.0106*** (0.0017)	-0.0109*** (0.0017)	-0.0109*** (0.0017)	-0.0095*** (0.0017)	-0.0112*** (0.0017)	-0.0108*** (0.0016)
Mean dep. var.	0.7879	0.7879	0.7879	0.7879	0.7879	0.7879	0.7879	0.7879
R-squared	0.0000	0.1413	0.1547	0.1548	0.1548	0.1508	0.1524	0.0789
	Panel B: <i>Repetition</i>							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0044*** (0.0016)	0.0071*** (0.0016)	0.0068*** (0.0016)	0.0071*** (0.0016)	0.0071*** (0.0016)	0.0063*** (0.0015)	0.0073*** (0.0015)	0.0068*** (0.0015)
Mean dep. var.	0.1268	0.1268	0.1268	0.1268	0.1268	0.1268	0.1268	0.1268
R-squared	0.0000	0.0412	0.043	0.0431	0.0431	0.0413	0.0427	0.0219
	Panel C: <i>Dropout</i>							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0039*** (0.0013)	0.0043*** (0.0012)	0.0036*** (0.0012)	0.0037*** (0.0012)	0.0037*** (0.0012)	0.0031*** (0.0011)	0.0038*** (0.0012)	0.0039*** (0.0011)
Mean dep. var.	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.085
R-squared	0	0.1093	0.1236	0.1236	0.1236	0.1213	0.1205	0.0609
Students controls	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Classroom controls	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Weather	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Weather max	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
School/Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Neighbourhood FE	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Neighbourhood X Year FE	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Classroom FE	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Obs	4,666,256	4,666,256	4,666,256	4,666,256	4,666,256	4,666,256	4,666,256	4,656,483

Notes: * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$. In parentheses, robust standard errors clustered at the school level (Columns 1 to 5) and at the neighborhood level (Columns 6 and 7). The analysis includes students in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd years of secondary school, over the period of 2007 to 2017. Explanatory variable *Dengue* is a dummy that equals 1 if the student had dengue during the academic year and 0 otherwise. Dependent variables *Repetition* and *Dropout* are dummy variables that equal 1 if the student repeated grade or dropped out from school respectively. All regressions include time and school fixed effects. Equation 7 includes Neighborhood X Time fixed effects. Our preferred models are in Column 4. Controls include individual characteristics, and classroom composition. Individual controls are age, sex, race and grade. Classroom controls are share of black students, share of girls and share of students above the appropriate age. Temperature bins with count of days with max temp 10-15; 15-20; 20-25; 25-30;30-35;35-40;40-45.

Table 3: Robustness Checks

	Panel A: Academic months	
	<i>Repetition</i>	<i>Dropout</i>
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0072*** (0.0015)	0.0035*** (0.0011)
Mean dep. var.	0.1268	0.085
Observations	4,666,256	4,666,256
Controls	Yes	Yes
School/Year	Yes	Yes
	Panel B: Previous year	
	<i>Repetition</i>	<i>Dropout</i>
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0036** (0.0017)	0.003** (0.0015)
Mean dep. var.	0.109	0.082
Observations	2,395,779	2,395,779
Controls	Yes	Yes
School/Year	Yes	Yes

Notes: $*p < 0.1$, $**p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.01$. Controls, FE and cluster follow our preferred specification. In Panel A, *Dengue* is a variable that equals 1 if the student caught Dengue during the academic months, i.e., excluding December and January, and 0 otherwise. In Panel B, *Dengue* equals 1 if the student caught Dengue in the previous year.

Table 4: Placebo Test using Dengue Leads

	(1)	(2)
	<i>Repetition</i>	<i>Dropout</i>
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0023 (0.0018)	0.0008 (0.0010)
Mean dep. var.	0.1268	0.085
Observations	2,395,782	2,395,782
Controls	Yes	Yes
School/Year	Yes	Yes

Notes: $*p < 0.1$, $**p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.01$. Controls, FE and cluster follow our preferred specification. *Dengue* is a variable that equals 1 if the student caught Dengue in the year after, i.e., the lead variable of dengue.

Table 5: Heterogeneity Analysis

Panel A: By gender				
	<i>Repetition</i>		<i>Dropout</i>	
	(1) Female	(2) Male	(3) Female	(4) Male
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0097*** (0.0019)	0.0039 (0.0025)	0.007*** (0.0015)	0.0002 (0.0018)
Mean dep. var.	0.093	0.1656	0.068	0.104
Female - Male Test [p-value]	0.0058** [0.0510]		0.0068*** [0.0041]	
Obs	2,501,441	2,164,815	2,501,441	2,164,815
R-squared	0.0293	0.0344	0.1047	0.1349
Panel B: By race				
	<i>Repetition</i>		<i>Dropout</i>	
	(1) White	(2) Non-white	(3) White	(4) Non-white
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0089*** (0.0024)	0.0059*** (0.0019)	0.0031* (0.0018)	0.0041*** (0.0015)
Mean dep. var.	0.1083	0.1386	0.073	0.093
White-Non-white Test [p-value]	0.0033 [0.3287]		-0.001 [0.6964]	
Obs	1,828,656	2,837,593	1,828,656	2,837,593
R-squared	0.0396	0.0435	0.1248	0.121
Panel C: By Bolsa Família				
	<i>Repetition</i>		<i>Dropout</i>	
	(1) Receive	(2) Don't receive	(3) Receive	(4) Don't receive
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0003 (0.0026)	0.0079*** (0.0016)	0.0043** (0.0022)	0.0032** (0.0013)
Mean dep. var.	0.1409	0.1209	0.1054	0.077
Obs	1,351,049	3,315,207	1,351,049	3,315,207
R-squared	0.0038	0.0025	0.1156	0.0695
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
School/Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$. Controls, FE and cluster follow our preferred specification.

Table 6: Results by Grade

Panel A: <i>Pass</i>			
	(1)	(2)	(3)
	<i>First Year</i>	<i>Second Year</i>	<i>Third Year</i>
<i>Dengue</i>	-0.0087*** (0.0029)	-0.0122*** (0.0030)	-0.0118*** (0.0031)
Test (1)=(2) [pv]		[0.4126]	
Test (1)=(3) [pv]		[0.5117]	
Test (2)=(3) [pv]		[0.9392]	
Mean dep. var.	0.7143	0.8198	0.8673
Obs	1,959,339	1,483,975	1,222,937
R-squared	0.1679	0.1292	0.065
Panel B: <i>Repetition</i>			
	(1)	(2)	(3)
	<i>First Year</i>	<i>Second Year</i>	<i>Third Year</i>
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0034 (0.0028)	0.0105*** (0.0027)	0.0081*** (0.0027)
Test (1)=(2) [pv]		[0.0738]*	
Test (1)=(3) [pv]		[0.2873]	
Test (2)=(3) [pv]		[0.5348]	
Mean dep. var.	0.1754	0.1013	0.0797
Obs	1,959,339	1,483,975	1,222,937
R-squared	0.0357	0.0275	0.0163
Panel C: <i>Dropout</i>			
	(1)	(2)	(3)
	<i>First Year</i>	<i>Second Year</i>	<i>Third Year</i>
<i>Dengue</i>	0.0053*** (0.0019)	0.0017 (0.0020)	0.0034* (0.0019)
Test (1)=(2) [pv]		[0.2073]	
Test (1)=(3) [pv]		[0.4944]	
Test (2)=(3) [pv]		[0.5388]	
Mean dep. var.	0.1101	0.0787	0.0528
Obs	1,959,339	1,483,975	1,222,937
R-squared	0.1456	0.1139	0.0563
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes
School/Year	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$. Controls, FE and cluster follow our preferred specification. [Pv] corresponds to the p-value from the test between coefficients.

References

- Aaskoven, Maiken Skovrider, Trine Kjær, and Dorte Gyrd-Hansen**, “Effects of parental health shocks on children’s school achievements: A register-based population study,” *Journal of Health Economics*, 2022, *81* (October 2021), 102573.
- Alam, Shamma Adeeb**, “Parental health shocks, child labor and educational outcomes: Evidence from Tanzania,” *Journal of Health Economics*, 2015, *44*, 161–175.
- Andrioli, Denise Catarina, Maria Assunta Busato, and Junir Antonio Lutinski**, “Spatial and temporal distribution of dengue in Brazil, 1990 - 2017,” *PLoS ONE*, 2020, *15* (2), 1–13.
- Azevedo, João Pedro, Amer Hasan, Diana Goldemberg, Koen Geven, and Syedah Aroob Iqbal**, “Simulating the Potential Impacts of COVID-19 School Closures on Schooling and Learning Outcomes: A Set of Global Estimates,” *The World Bank Research Observer*, 2 2021, *36* (1), 1–40.
- Barron, Kai, Luis F. Gamboa, and Paul Rodríguez-Lesmes**, “Behavioural Response to a Sudden Health Risk: Dengue and Educational Outcomes in Colombia,” *Journal of Development Studies*, 2019, *55* (4), 620–644.
- Bjerk, David**, “Re-examining the impact of dropping out on criminal and labor outcomes in early adulthood,” *Economics of Education Review*, 2012, *31* (1), 110–122.
- Campbell, Karen M., C. D. Lin, Sophon Iamsirithaworn, and Thomas W. Scott**, “The complex relationship between weather and dengue virus transmission in Thailand,” *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 2013, *89* (6), 1066–1080.
- Campolieti, Michele, Tony Fang, and Morley Gunderson**, “Labour market outcomes and skill acquisition of high-school dropouts,” *Journal of Labor Research*, 2010, *31* (1), 39–52.
- Chuang, Hwei Lin**, “High school youths’ dropout and re-enrollment behavior,” *Economics of Education Review*, 1997, *16* (2), 171–186.
- Eide, Eric R. and Mark H. Showalter**, “The effect of grade retention on educational and labor market outcomes,” *Economics of Education Review*, 2001, *20* (6), 563–576.
- Gensowski, Miriam, Torben Heien Nielsen, Nete Munk Nielsen, Maya Rossin-Slater, and Miriam Wüst**, “Childhood health shocks, comparative advantage, and long-term outcomes: Evidence from the last Danish polio epidemic,” *Journal of Health Economics*, 2019, *66*, 27–36.
- Grewenig, Elisabeth, Philipp Lergetporer, Katharina Werner, Ludger Woessmann, and Larissa Zierow**, “COVID-19 and educational inequality: How school closures affect low- and high-achieving students,” *European Economic Review*, 2021, *140* (June), 103920.
- Jacob, Brian A. and Lars Lefgren**, “The Effect of Grade Retention on High School Completion,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2009, *1* (3), 33–58.
- Koppensteiner, Martin Foureaux**, “Automatic grade promotion and student performance: Evidence from Brazil,” *Journal of Development Economics*, 2014, *107* (C), 277–290.

- **and Livia Menezes**, “Maternal Dengue and Health Outcomes of Children,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2023, *Forthcoming*.
- Manacorda, Marco**, “The Cost of Grade Retention,” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 2012, *94* (2), 596–606.
- Marcotte, Dave E.**, “High school dropout and teen childbearing,” *Economics of Education Review*, 2013, *34*, 258–268.
- Murugesan, Amudhan and Mythreyee Manoharan**, *Emerging and reemerging viral pathogens, chapter 16: Dengue virus*, Elsevier, 2020.
- Park, Jisung, Joshua Goodman, Michael Hurwitz, and Jonathan Smith**, “Heat and Learning,” *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 2020, *12* (2), 306–39.
- Rouse, Cecilia Elena**, “The Labor Market Consequences of an Inadequate Education,” *NBER Working Paper Series [National Bureau of Economic Research]*, 2005.
- Werner, Katharina and Ludger Woessmann**, “The legacy of Covid-19 in education,” *Economic Policy*, 2023, *Forthcoming*.
- Zeng, Zhilin, Juan Zhan, Liyuan Chen, Huilong Chen, and Sheng Cheng**, “Global, regional, and national dengue burden from 1990 to 2017: A systematic analysis based on the global burden of disease study 2017,” *EClinicalMedicine*, 2021, *32*, 100712.